

JUDICIAL IMPACT FISCAL NOTE

Bill Number: 1199 SHB	Title: Transit Infraction Youth Court	Agency: 055 – Admin Office of the Courts (AOC)
---------------------------------	---	--

Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23
Total:					

Estimated Expenditures from:

STATE	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23
FTE – Staff Years					
Account					
General Fund – State (001-1)					
State Subtotal					
COUNTY					
County FTE Staff Years					
Account					
Local - Counties					
Counties Subtotal					
CITY					
City FTE Staff Years					
Account					
Local – Cities					
Cities Subtotal					
Local Subtotal					
Total Estimated Expenditures:					

The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form parts I-V

If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).

Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date:
Agency Preparation: Sam Knutson	Phone: 360-704-5528	Date: 4/4/2017
Agency Approval: Ramsey Radwan	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date:
OFM Review:	Phone:	Date:

Part II: Narrative Explanation

This bill would amend RCW 3.72 to add jurisdiction over transit infractions to youth courts with jurisdiction over traffic infractions.

Part II.A – Brief Description of what the Measure does that has fiscal impact on the Courts

The judicial impact would be to three counties (King, Pierce, and Snohomish). In 2016, King County had 38,498 transit infractions, Pierce County had 290 transit infractions, and Snohomish County had 2,501 transit infractions. There is no data available to determine how many of these infractions were committed by persons under the age of 18. In order to reach a \$50,000 threshold for judicial/court time savings associated with transit infraction cases moved to youth courts (from municipal/district courts), it would require that 18 percent of the cases would be for 16 and 17 year olds. If there are savings, courts would use the time to hear other cases in a more timely manner.